

PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION OF THE MATHEMATICAL CONSEQUENCE OF LORENTZ' TRANSFORMATIONS

Josiph Mladenov Rangelov,

Institute of Solid State Physics , Bulgarian Academy of
Sciences , blv.Tzarigradsko Chaussee 72 ,Sofia 1784 , Bulgaria

Abstract

A physical interpretation of the mathematical consequence of Lorentz transformation within spatial relativity theory is presented as a result of my new physical model of existent fluctuating vacuum (FlcVcm). It is assumed that the FlcVcm is considered as molecular dielectric, which consists from neutral dynamides, streamlined in a close-packed crystalline lattice. Every dynamide is a neutral pair, consistent by two massless opposite point-like elementary electric charges (ElmElcChrgs): electrino (-) and positrino (+). In a frozen equilibrium position two contrary pont-like ElmElcChrgs within every one dynamide are very closely installed one to another and therefore the aggregate polarization of every dynamide and its electric field also have zero values. The aggregate electric field of every dynamide polarizes nearest neighbors dynamides in an account of which nearest dynamides interact between them-self, because of which their elementary excitations, phonons and photons, have a wave character and behaviors. We suppose that the photon is an polarization result of the phonon within the fluctuating vacuum considered as an ideal dielectric and therefore the photon could be considered as an elementary collective excitation of the FlcVcm in the form of a solitary needle cylindrical harmonic oscillation. Hence the light, which is a packet of the photons, must move within FlcVcm with constant velocity and Dopler effect must be observed in both cases, for the light and sound. Then all mathematical results of Lorentz transformation could be considered as results of a demand of an independence of the observation results from the reactive velocity of the observation frame.

A physical model (PhsMdl) of the existent fluctuating vacuum (FlcVcm) and its elementary excitations phonon and photon as a solitary needle cylindrical harmonic oscillation of its polarized molecular crystal lattice is offered. It is common known that the physical model (PhsMdl) presents at us as an actual ingredient of every good physical theory (PhsThr). It would be used as for an obvious visual teaching the unknown occurred physical processes within the investigated phenomena. We assume that the FlcVcm could be considered as a molecular crystal, which is consistent by neutral dynamides, streamlined in some close-packed crystalline lattice. Every dynamide is a massless neutral pair, consistent by two massless opposite point-like (PntLk) elementary electric charges (ElmElcChrgs): electrino (-) and positrino (+). In a frozen equilibrium position both opposite PntLk ElmElcChrgs within every dynamide are very closely installed one to another and therefore the aggregate polarization of every one dynamide has zero value and its electric field (ElcFld) also has zero electric intensity (ElcInt). However the absence of a mass in a rest of the electrino and positrino makes them possible to have a big mobility and infinitesimal dynamical inertness of its own QntElcMgnFld, what permits them

to be found a bigger time in an unequilibrium distorted position. The aggregate ElcFld of the dynamide reminds us that it could be considered as the QntElcFld of an electric quasi-dipole moment (ElcQusDplMmn) because both opportunity massless electrino and positrino have the same inertness. For a certain that is why the FlcVcm dos not radiate real photon (RlPhtn) by itself, as a dynamide electric dipole moment (ElcDplMmn) has a zero value. The aggregate ElcFld of every dynamide polarizes nearest neighbors dynamides in an account of which nearest dynamides interact between itself, and in a result of which its elementary collective excitations have a wave character and behavior. It is richly clear that the motions in the opposite direction of both opposite PntLk ElmElcChrgs of an every dynamide creates an aggregate magnetic field (MgnFld) of every one and the sum of which makes a magnetic part of the free QntElcMgnFld.

Although up to the present nobody of scientists distinctly knows are there some elementary micro particles (ElmMcrPrts) as a fundamental building stone of the micro world and what the elementary micro particle (ElmMcrPrt) means, there exists an essential possibility for physical clear and scientific obvious consideration of the uncommon quantum behavior and unusual dynamical relativistic parameters of all relativistic quantized MicrPrts (QntMicrPrts) by means of our convincing and transparent surveyed PhsMdl. We suppose that the photon is some elementary excitation of the FlcVcm in the form of a solitary needle cylindrical harmonic oscillation. The deviations of both PntLk massless opportunity ElmElcChrgs of an every dynamide from their equilibrium position in the vacuum close-packed crystalline lattice creates its own polarization, the sum of which creates total polarization of the FlcVcm as a ideal dielectric, which causes the existence of a total resultant QntElcFld. Consequently the total polarization of all dynamides creates own resultant QntElcFld, which is an electric part of the free QntElcMgnFld. Really, if the deviation of an every PntLk ElmElcChrg within every one dynamide from its own equilibrium position is described by dint of formula of collective oscillations (RlPhtns) of connected oscillators in a representation of second quantization:

$$u_j(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\Theta\omega}} I_{jq} \left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (1)$$

where Θ is an inertial mass of the electrino and positrino and I_{jq} are vector components of the deviation (polarization). If we multiply the deviation u of every PntLk ElmElcChrg in every dynamide by the twofold ElmElcChrg value e and dynamide density $W = \frac{1}{\Omega_o}$, then we could obtain in a result the total polarization value of the FlcVcm within a representation of the second quantization :

$$P_j(r) = \frac{2e}{\Omega_o \sqrt{N}} \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\Theta\omega}} I_{jq} \left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (2)$$

Further we must note that the change of the spring with an elasticity χ between the MicrPrt and its equilibrium position, oscillating with a circular frequency ω by two springs with an elasticity $\tilde{\chi}$ between two MicrPrts, having opportunity ElmElcChrgs and oscillating with a circular frequency $\tilde{\omega}$ within one dynamide, is accompanied by a relation $2\tilde{\chi} \simeq \chi$. Indeed, if the „masses” of the oscillating as unharmed dynamide is twice the „mass” of the electrino or positrino, but the elasticity of the spring between every two neighbor dynamides in crystalline lattice is fourfold more the elasticity of the spring between two the MicrPrts, having opportunity ElmElcChrgs and oscillating one relatively other within one dynamide, while the common „mass” of two the MicrPrts, having opportunity ElmElcChrgs and oscillating one relatively other within one dynamide is half of the „mass” of the electrino or positrino. Therefore the

circular frequency ω of the collective oscillations have well known relation with the Coulomb potential of the electric interaction (ElcInt) between two opportunity massless PntLk ElmElc-Chrgs electrino and positrino and their dynamical inertial „masses” which can be described by dint of the equations :

$$\tilde{\omega}^2 = 2\frac{\tilde{\chi}}{\Theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^2 = \frac{4\chi}{2\Theta} \quad \text{consequently} \quad \omega^2 = 2\tilde{\omega}^2 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and therefore} \quad \Theta\omega^2 = \frac{4e^2}{4\pi\Omega_o\varepsilon_o} \quad \text{or} \quad \Theta C^2 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\Omega_o q^2 \varepsilon_o} \quad (4)$$

where

$$N\Omega_o = \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad d = W e E \quad \text{or} \quad E = \frac{d}{\Omega_o\varepsilon_o} = \frac{P}{\varepsilon_o} \quad (5)$$

we could obtain an expression for the ElcInt of the QntElcMgnFld, well known from classical electrodynamics (ClsElcDnm) in a representation of the second quantization:

$$E_j(r) = \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar\omega}{\Omega\varepsilon_o}} I_{jq} \left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (6)$$

By dint of a common known defining equality :

$$E_j = -\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial t} \quad (7)$$

From (7) we could obtain the expression for the vector-potential A of the QntElcMgnFld in the vacuum in a representation of the second quantization :

$$A_j(r) = i \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar}{\Omega\omega\varepsilon_o}} I_{jq} \left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) - a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (8)$$

or

$$A_j(r) = i \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar\omega\mu_o}{\Omega q^2}} I_{jq} \left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) - a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (9)$$

Further by dint of the defining equality $\mu_o H = \text{rot} A$ from (8) and (9) we could obtain an expression for the MgnInt of QntElcMgnFld, well known from ClsElcDnm in a representation of the second quantization:

$$H_j(r) = \sum_q \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar\omega}{\Omega\mu_o}} [\vec{n}_q \times I_{lq}]_j \left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (10)$$

where $\vec{n}_k$ is unit vector, determining the motion direction of the free QntElcMgnFld. The reception of known expressions for the ElcInt and MgnInt values of the QntElcMgnFld by dint of a simple transformation of an expression, describing deviation of two PntLk ElmElcChrgs of distorted dynamides into the ideal dielectric of the FlcVcm proves obviously and scientifically the true of our assumption about the dipole structure of the vacuum and about the creation way of its collective oscillation - RlPhntn. The existence of a possibility for a creation of virtual photons (VrtPhntns) as an polarized excitation within the fluctuating vacuum (FlcVcm) renders an essential influence over the motion of a electric charged or magnetized micro particles (MicrPrts) by means of its EntElcMgnFld. The existence of a free energy in the form of micro

particles (MicrPrts) can break of the connection between pair contrary PntLk ElmElcChrgs of one dynamide and to excite pair of two opposite charged MicrPrts at once.

By means of presentation (10) of MgnInt $H_j(r)$ and taking into consideration that $\vec{n}_q$ is always perpendicular to the vector of the polarization I_{jq} we obtain that :

$$[\vec{v} \times [\vec{n}_q \times I_{jq}]] = \vec{n}_q (\vec{v} \cdot I_{jq}) - I_{jq} (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}_q) \quad (11)$$

From this equation (11) we could understand that if the velocity v of the interacting ElcChrg is parallel of the direction $\vec{n}_q$ of the motion of the free QntElcMgnFld, then the first term in the equation (11) will be nullified and the second term in the equation (11) will determine the force, which will act upon this interacting ElcChrg. But when the velocity $\vec{v}$ of the interacting ElcChrg is parallel of the direction I_{jq} of the motion in the opposite directions of two PntLk ElmElcChrg of the electrino and positrino and one is a perpendicular to the direction $\vec{n}_q$ of the motion of a free QntElcMgnFld, then the second term in the equation (11) will be nullified and the first term in the equation (11) will describe the force, which acts upon this interacting PntLk ElmElcChrg. It turns out that the interaction between currents of the electrino and positrino, which is parallel to the vector of a polarization I_{jq} as $(\vec{v}_j = \frac{\omega}{\pi} \vec{I}_{jq})$, with the QntMgnFld of the free QntElcMgnFld determines the motion and its velocity of same this free QntElcMgnFld. Indeed, it is well known that the change of a magnetic flow Φ creates a ElcFld. Therefore by dint of a relation (11) we can obtain the following relation :

$$F_j = \frac{e}{C} [\vec{v} \times \vec{H}] = \frac{e}{m C \omega} [\vec{E} \times \vec{H}] = \sum_q \frac{e^2}{m C} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi \hbar}{\Omega \varepsilon_o}} \sqrt{\frac{2 \pi \hbar}{\Omega \mu_o}} \epsilon_{jkl} \vec{n}_j (\vec{I}_{kq} \cdot \vec{I}_{lq})$$

$$\left\{ a_{kq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{kq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \left\{ a_{kq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) - a_{kq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (12)$$

Therefore by dint of (12) and defining equations (6) and (9) we can obtain:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \varepsilon_o}} = v \sqrt{\mu \mu_o} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{at} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_o}} = C \sqrt{\mu_o} \quad \text{we have} \quad C = v \cdot \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}. \quad (13)$$

It is naturally that when some RlPhn is moving within the space of some substance, then supplementary polarization of atoms and molecules appears , which delay its moving and slow down its velocity. Indeed in this case the dielectric constant ε has a following form:

$$\varepsilon = 1 + \sum_q \frac{4 \pi n(q) [\omega(q) - \omega_c]}{[m \{4(\omega(q) - \omega_c)^2 + \tau^2 \omega(q)^4\}]} \quad (14)$$

By means of the upper scientific investigation we understand that the creation of the Qnt-MgnFld by moving oposete PntLk ElmElcChrgs of electrinos and positrinos within all dynamides together with their aggregate QntElcFld as two components of one free QntElcMgnFld one secures their motion. Therefore we should write the momentum of the free QntElcMgnFld by means of the equation of Pointing/Umov, using the definition equations (6) and (10) :

$$P = \frac{[E \times H]}{4 \pi C^2} = \sum_q \vec{n}_q \frac{\hbar \omega}{2 \Omega \sqrt{\varepsilon_o \mu_o}} (I_{jq} \cdot I_{jq})$$

$$\left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \times$$

$$\left\{ a_{jq}^+ \exp i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} \exp -i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (15)$$

or

$$P = \sum_q \vec{n}_q \frac{\hbar \omega}{2\Omega C} \left\{ a_{jq}^+ a_{jq} + a_{jq} a_{jq}^+ + a_{jq}^+ a_{jq}^+ \exp 2i(\omega t - qr) + a_{jq} a_{jq} \exp -2i(\omega t - qr) \right\} \quad (16)$$

or

$$\bar{P} = \sum_q \vec{n}_q \frac{\hbar \omega}{\Omega C} (n_q + 1/2) \quad (17)$$

It is well known that the ElmMicrPrts behavior would be studied by means of an investigation of their behaviors after their interaction by already well known ElmMicrPrts. Therefore we shall describe the properties and behavior of the real photon (RlPhtn) by means of a new physical interpretation of results of its emission and absorption from atoms at their excitated SchEls from higher energetic state into lower energetic state or vice versa transition. In such a way we could understand the origin of some their name by dint of the physical understanding these determining processes.

In a first we begin by supposing that the RlPhtn has a form of a solitary needle cylindrical harmonic soliton with a cross section σ_1 , determined by the following equation :

$$\sigma_1 = \pi \{ (\delta x)^2 + (\delta y)^2 \} = \pi \left[\frac{C}{\omega} \right]^2 = \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^2, \quad (18)$$

which is determined by Heisenberg uncertainty relations:

$$(\delta p_x)^2 (\delta x)^2 \simeq \frac{\hbar^2}{4}; \quad \text{and} \quad (\delta p_y)^2 (\delta y)^2 \simeq \frac{\hbar^2}{4}; \quad (19)$$

where the dispersions are:

$$(\delta x)^2 \simeq (1/2) \left\{ \frac{C}{\omega} \right\}^2 = \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right\}^2; \quad (\delta y)^2 \simeq (1/2) \left\{ \frac{C}{\omega} \right\}^2 = \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right\}^2; \quad (20)$$

It is well known that the probability P_{12} for a transition par second of some SchEl under ElcIntAct of extern ElcMgnFld from an eigenstate 1 into an eigenstate 2 is determined by the following formula:

$$P_{12} = \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{e^2}{\hbar C^3} (\omega_{12})^3 | \langle 1 | r | 2 \rangle |^2 \quad (21)$$

As the intensity of the ElcMgn emission I , emitted par second is equal of the product of the probability P_{12} for a transition par second by the energy $\hbar \omega_{12}$ of the emitted RlPhtn, then for certain

$$I = P_{12} \hbar \omega_{12} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{e^2}{C^3} \omega_{12}^4 | \langle 1 | r | 2 \rangle |^2 \quad (22)$$

Really the matrix element $\langle 1|r|2 \rangle$ of the SchEl position is determined by the product of the probability for the spontaneous transition of a SchEl from an higher energetic level into a lower energetic level and the number $(n+1)$ for the emission or the number n for the absorption of a RlPhtn, where n is the number of the RlPhtns within the external QntElcMgnFld, which polarizes atom. In our view here we need to note obvious supposition that the spreading quantum trajectory of the SchEl is a result of the participating of its well spread (WllSpr) ElmElcChrg in isotropic three dimensional (IstThrDmn) nonrelativistic quantized (NrlQnt) Furthian stochastic (FrthStch) circular harmonic oscillations motion (CrcHrmOscsMtn), which is a forced result of the electric interaction (ElcIntAct) of the SchEl's WllSpr ElmElcChrg by

the electric intensity (ElcInt) of the resultant resonance QntElcMgnFld of all stochastic virtual photons (StchVrtPhtns), existing in this moment of time within the area, where it is moving. In order to understand this uncommon stochastic motion we must remember the IstThrDmn nonrelativistic classical (NrlCls) Brownian stochastic (BrnStch) trembling harmonic oscillation motion (TrmHrmOscMtn) ([2]), ([3]), ([4]), ([5]), ([6]), ([7]).

It is well known that hundred and fifteen years ago (in 1883) Waldemar Foght have pointed, that the transition from one space-time variables to new space-time ones my means of relations :

$$x' = x - v \times t \quad y' = y \quad z' = z \quad t' = t - \frac{v \cdot x}{C \cdot C} \quad (23)$$

preserves the form of the wave equation :

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{(\partial x)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{(\partial y)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{(\partial z)^2} - \frac{1}{C^2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{(\partial t)^2} = 0 \quad (24)$$

by means of which the electromagnetic wave of the light is described. But if J.Fidjerald, J.Larmor and A.Lorentz have considerate these transitions as a result of some physical cause and mathematical consequence, then A.Poancare and A.Einstein have voice a supposition for a covariancy of the differential equation (24) in a relation to transition from one coordinate frame K to an other coordinate one K' , which is moving in relation to first by velocity v . It turns out that we are able to give some physical explanation of uncommon mathematical results of the transition (24) within the spatial relative theory by some obvious physical interpretation of negative experimental results of Mikelson (1881) and Mikelson and Morly (1887) experiments. We need to point that the presence of the light velocity C in equation (24) is a result of description of the electromagnetic field despreding in the space by time. The applicability of Lorentz' transformation for a determination of the energy-impulse values within different coordinate frames proves the electromagnetic self-interaction cause of the micro particles self-energy. In this light we understand why light velocity presents in the wave equation (24). We will to explain a physical sense of the covariance of the wave equation (24) in a relation ot the Lorentz' transformation (23). This covariance displays wave processes are covariant to Lorentz' transformation. Consequently, both observers of same wave processes, presenting in different coordinate frames, must seen and describe one and same wave processes. It is turned that for this aim we need to suppose some obvious results. In first we need to suppose that the times have one and same value in different space points but within unmoving coordinate frame. Then it is turn that the times need to have different values in different space points within moving coordinate frame. Indeed, if we observe some harmonic oscillator, then we will see it as a participating in some wave motion within a moving coordinate frame. Then in order to describe this wave motion as same harmonic oscillation motion we need to introduce time, moving by a fase velocity in a relation to the moving coordinate frame. In other words the demand of the unity of an observation pictures of wave motions from both observers, presenting in different coordinate frames, moving by a constant velocity one to other, demands to losing the unitary of time velocity in different space points within the moving coordinate frame. It is time to begin by clarification of the physical sense of the Lorentz' transformation (23). It is well known from mechanics that the transition from one coordinate frane to unmoving another one take place by turning one flatness of a real angle φ up to total coincidence of both axis. But from Lorentz' transformation we seen that in this case we need to turn one flatness of an imagine angle $\varphi = i\alpha$ up to total coincidence of both axis (ox - a direction of mutually moving, ot - a direction of a time), having value, determinate by following equality : $\tan(\varphi) = i \tanh(\alpha)$

$= i\gamma \frac{v}{C}$. From this discussion we understand that if the angle φ can have values in area $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then the angle α can have values in area $(0, \infty)$. This means that the behaviors of the same wave motion in both coordinate frame must be described in different space points (r and r') and times values (t and t') as in different coordinate frames the same event of wave motion have different coordinates (x, t) and (x', t') within the space-time, which are connected by Lorentz' transformation (23).

In what followed we will see one very unexpected consequence from Lorentz' transformation. Indeed, by means of the transformation (23) we could obtain :

$$dx' = \gamma(dx - v.dt) \quad dt' = \gamma(dt - \frac{v.dx}{C.C}) \quad (25)$$

If we derive the first equation within (25) by second one then we can obtain a following equation:

$$u' = \frac{(u - v)}{1 - \frac{u.v}{C.C}} \quad (26)$$

if we use $u' = \frac{dx'}{dt'}$ and $u = \frac{dx}{dt}$. When within three dimension space the relative velocity v of the moving inertial coordinate frame has only one component $v(v_x, 0, 0)$ then we obtain :

$$u'_x = \frac{(u_x - v_x)}{1 - \frac{u_x.v_x}{C.C}} \quad u'_y = \frac{u_y}{1 - \frac{u_x.v_x}{C.C}} \quad u'_z = \frac{u_z}{1 - \frac{u_x.v_x}{C.C}}. \quad (27)$$

It is very easy to seen from equation (27) that when the velocity v of the relatively motion reach its maximal values C , then the velocity u' is equal of the light velocity C . This means that the maximal value of the velocity u within every coordinate frame could be equal of the light velocity C . This common relation is a nature result of the light spreading invariancy within vacuum in a relation of observer in different inertial coordinate frame, which is described by Lorentz' transformation.

However it is no sole uncommon consequence of Lorentz' transformation. Let we suppose that the values of both times are equal to zero when both zentrums 0 and $0'$ of both inertial coordinate frames coincident. We need to point that we may make the equal time values by synchronization of clocks in all points of the space within unmoving coordinate system. The concept of time simultaneous within unmoving coordinate system means that the time values in all points of its space are equal. However their corresponding time values within the different space points within moving coordinate system will be different. This means that the time in moving coordinate systems moving by a phase velocity $v_f = \frac{C^2}{v}$. We see that the time in unmoving coordinate frame is moving by infinitely big velocity, which give us possibility to think that the time is equal in all point of the space within this coordinate system.

It is very easy to verify that the time interval, measured by two clocks, being unmoving in moving and unmoving coordinate frames will be difference. Let the unmoving clock in unmoving coordinate frame takes pase $x = x_1$, and the unmoving clock in moving coordinate frame takes other place $x' = (x')_1$. Then we obtain following relation by means of Lorentz' transformation

$$\Delta t' = \gamma.\Delta t \quad (28)$$

But mean-while the clock within unmoving coordinate frame is measured the time interval $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$, the time beginning will pass a distance $\Delta x = -v.\Delta t$ in moving coordinate frame, measured in unmoving coordinate frame. Consequently the clock in moving coordinate frame,

which is unmoving in this coordinate frame, will pass from the point x_1 to the point x_2 , where $x_2 = x_1(1 - \frac{v.v}{C.C})$. In this way after substitution we could obtain :

$$\Delta\bar{t}' = \gamma(1 - \frac{v.v}{C.C})\Delta t = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma} \quad (29)$$

Consequently, if we take into consideration the motion of the clock, being unmoving in the beginning of the moving coordinate frame in a relation to unmoving coordinate frame and the motion of the time by the phase velocity u_f in the moving coordinate frame, then we could obtain :

$$\Delta t = \gamma.\Delta t' \quad (30)$$

Consequently, the difference in display of both clocks a result of both motions : of the clock motion by velocity v together with the moving coordinate frame and the time motion by phase velocity v_f in same one. Indeed, the first time interval is less γ times and the second time interval is more $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ times. Therefore their product is equal of quadrate of own true time: $(\Delta t)^2 = (\Delta\tilde{t}').(\Delta(\bar{t}'))$

In some way we could explain if we want to understand why both length Δl and $\Delta(\tilde{l}')$ in moving and unmoving coordinate frames. It is very clear that for a measure the moving train by velocity v we need to know where are its ends in equal time values, i.s. at $(\Delta t')$. Indeed, we need to take into consideration the time value $\Delta t = \frac{v.l}{C.C}$ of spread in the time within moving coordinate frame between both train ends. Therefore after substitution we can obtain:

$$\tilde{l} = \gamma(1 - \frac{v.v}{C.C})l = \frac{l}{\gamma} \quad (31)$$

If we take into consideration the motion of the beginning of the moving coordinate frame together by one its end then we could obtain a relation:

$$(\bar{l}') = \gamma(1 - \frac{v.v}{C.C})l = \frac{l}{\gamma} \quad (32)$$

and

$$l = \gamma(\bar{l}') \quad (33)$$

Therefore the following relation is appeared:

$$(l')^2 = (\tilde{l}')(\bar{l}') \quad (34)$$

The spreading of the proper time within moving coordinate frame finds the experimental confirmation by the measure of the μ -meson number, overcoming from the cosmos through the atmosphere to earth surface. Really, if we take into consideration the live time interval of μ -mesons, within the unmoving relatively it coordinate system ($\tau = 2,2.10^{-6}$) sec, then although their velocity is smaller then light velocity C , then their length of half-disintegration will be $l = \tau C = 6,610^4 sm = 660m$.

Although it is well known that the atmosphere thickness around the earth is more bigger, a great number of μ -mesons reach it. For a true physical explanation of this experimental results we need to take into consideration the time spreading through the space of the moving coordinate frame, which is unmoving relatively the earth. In such way if we take into consideration that $\gamma = 100$, then we obtain the value for the time of a μ -meson half-disintegration

$(\tau)^{(t)} = \gamma\tau = 1002,210^6 - 4) = 2,210^6 - 2)$. Therefore for the length of the μ -meson half-desintegration we could obtain $l = 66,000m = 66km$. This example display us that for understanding the experimental fact in one case we need to increase the train length and in other cas to increase the half-desintegration time. In such a physically clear and mathematically correct way we made on progress in understanding this experimental result.

It is a time to explain Mikelson-Morly negativ experimental dates. We suggest that in first an experimental installation is built in an unmoving inertial coordinate frame. Moreover the semitransparent mirror (SmMr) is located in the beginning same inertial coordinate frame and axis ox is directed in parallel of the velocity v of the moving inertial coordinate frame relatively unmoving one. Moreover one flat mirror (FIMr1) is installed along axis ox of a distance l from the beginning of the unmoving inertial coordinate frame and an other flat mirror (FIMr2) is installed along axis oy, which is a perpendicular of the axis ox, of a same distance l. The wave front of the light semi-wave, which is passed through the SmMr at a time moment $t_o = 0$, located in the beginning of the unmoving coordinate frame, arrives in the FIMr1 and reflects from it at a time moment $t_{(1a)} = \frac{l}{c}$ and arrives in the SmMr and reflects from it in direction of axis -oy at a time moment $t_{(2a)} = \frac{2l}{C}$. The other wave front of the other light semi-wave, which reflects from the SmMr in a direction of axis oy and at same time moment $t_o = 0$, arrives in the FIMr2 and reflects from it at a time moment $t_{(1b)} = \frac{l}{C}$ and arrives and passes throgh it at a time moment $t_{(2b)} = \frac{2l}{C}$. After all that both light semi-waves move parallel and arrive to a common screen. It is a classical description. In more correct quantum description the light wave i a sum of many real photons, which can pass through or reflect from semi-mirrors, and the interference of the both light semi-wave is a result of the interaction of all real photons, which build both semi-waves, with the standing waves of the electromagnetic fields, created by stochastic exited virtual photons within the closed contour between SmMr, FIMr1 and FIMr2. As this closed contour and standing waves don't change at turning of the hole installation, than the interference picture will not change because the interaction between standing waves and real photons of both light semi-wave. In this physically clear quantum explanation we understand that there is no need to use the classical explanation and different mathematical transformatins because there is a physically well-founded cause for an unchanged interference picture at a turning of the hole installation of an angle = 90° .

The existence of the vacuum as some easy polarized ideal dielectric medium is performance by the experimental observation of the Dopler's effect for light as for sound and the limitation of the light velocity at its spreading through the vacuum. When the closed contour is filled by some material medium, having determined dielectric constant ϵ , different from 1, then the velocity u of the light within the medium, where $u = \frac{C}{n}$, if $n = \sqrt{\mu \cdot \epsilon}$, where a μ is a magnetic permeability and a ϵ is a electric permeability. Then by means of a relation (26) we could obtain :

$$u' = \frac{(\frac{C}{n} + v)}{1 + \frac{\frac{C}{n} \cdot v}{C \cdot C}} = (\frac{C}{n} + v) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{v}{C}) \approx \frac{C}{n} + w, \quad (35)$$

where w is a velocity of a carried light ($w = v \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{n^2}) = v \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon \cdot \mu})$) When $\mu \approx 1$ then $n^2 \approx \epsilon$ and therefore $w \approx v \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon})$

If we see relation (14), then we understand that it is naturally that the supplementary polarization of atoms and molecules within dielectric medium delay the photon moving depending from velocity of moved medium. From obtained above result about the light caring from a dielectric medium we could understand that when there is some dielectric wave fluid thin a closed contour, then its turning in the space will change the interference picture of the interferometer.

As all MicrPrts are excitements of the vacuum then every one of them would can move freely through its ideal dielectric without any friction or damping, that is to say without one to feel the existence of the vacuum. Moreover, the existence of some MicrPrt in the vacuum distorts its ideal crystalline lattice by its high density QntElcMgnFld, created by own FnSpr ElmElcChrg. This natural distortion of the neutral FlcVcm molecular close-packed lattice excites and ensures the gravitation field of the ElmMicrPrt's mass, which by using same force show attention upon mass of another ElmMicrPrt and upon its behavior. In such a naturally obvious and physically clear way we understand why the force of the gravitation interaction is determined by the self energy at a rest and mass.

References

- [1] E.Fermi,Rend.Lincei, **5**, 795, (1927);
- [2] Rangelov J.M.,Reports ofJINR, R4-80-493; R4-80-494; (1980), Dudna.
- [3] Rangelov J.M., University Annual (Technical Physics), **22**, (2), 65, 87, (1985); **23**, (2), 43, 61, (1986); **24**, (2), 287, (1986); **25**, (2), 89, 113, (1988).
- [4] Rangelov J.M.,Comptens Rendus e l'Academie Bulgarien Sciences, **39**, (12), 37, (1986).
- [5] Rangelov J.M., Report Series of Symposium on the Foundations of Modern Physics, 6/8, August, 1987, Joensuu, p.95-99, FTL, 131, Turku, Finland; Problems in Quantum Physics'2, Gdansk'89, 18-23, September, 1989, Gdansk, p.461-487, World Scientific, Singapore, (1990);
- [6] Rangelov J.M., Abstracts Booklet of 29th Anual Conference of the University of Peoples' Friendship, Moscow 17-31 May 1993 Physical ser.; Abstracts Booklet of Symposium on the Foundations of Modern Physics, 13/16, June,(1994), Helsinki, Finland 60-62.
- [7] Rangelov J.M., Abstract Booklet of B R U-2, 12-14, September, (1994), Ismir, Turkey ; Balk.Phys.Soc., **2**, (2), 1974, (1994). Abstract Booklet of B R U -3, 2-5 September,(1997), Cluj-Napoca,Romania.
- [8] P.A.M.Dirac,Prc.Roy.Soc., **A114**, 243, 710, (1927);
- [9] W.Heisenberg, W.Pauli, Zts.f.Phys.**56**, 4, (1929); **59**, 168, (1930);
- [10] E.Fermi, Rend.Lincei, **9**, 881, (1929)**12**, 431, (1930); Rev.Mod.Phys., **4**, 87, (1932);
- [11] W.Heitler, The Quantum Theory of Radiation, Oxford, Clererdon Press, (1954)
- [12] Born M., Atomic Physics Berlin, London, Blackie and son limited, (1963)